

Food for Thought ... Uncertainty of Testing Methods – What Do We (Want to) Know? Martin Paparella¹, Mardas Daneshian², Romana Hornek-Gausterer¹,

Maximilian Kinzl¹, Ilse Mauritz¹, and Simone Mühlegger¹

ALTEX 2013, 30

¹Environmental Agency Austria, Vienna, Austria; ²Center for Alternatives to Animal Testing-Europe, University of Konstanz, Konstanz, Germany

martin.paparella @umweltbundesamt.at





A chance of showers and thunderstorms after 1pm. Mostly sunny, with a high near 91 that feels like 85. Chance of precipitation is 30%... amounts less than a tenth of an inch, except higher amounts possible in thunderstorms

Is that good science?





✓ Probabilistic animal-human inter-species assessment factors



Bokkers et Slob 2007

✓ Probabilistic human-human intra-species assessment factors

e.g. for P95 of individuals: GM 1+3.82;GSD~4; P95=43.8; P99=117

Schneider et al. 2005



Reproducibility of animal test standard data?

sub-chronic and 2-generation studies; NOAELs range =10 ? Janer at al. 2007

carcinogenicity; concordance ~ 57% ? Gottmann et al. 2001

acute fish toxicity; 96 hours LC50 range ~ 3 log units ? Hrovat et al. 2009

> acute rodent toxicity 90% probability that 44% of substances fall in two adjacent categories

> > Hoffmann et al. 2010



Complexity-uncertainty

e.g. for 23 of 57 substances:

different ADI derived by EFSA und JMPR





How could be describe uncertainties?

A) Probabilistic description of quantify-able uncertainties



B) ... amended with semi-quantitative or qualitative description of nonquantifyable uncertainties:

source	Influence on hazard estimate
e.g. subset of human population not respected	-
e.g. qualitative differences	-/+

How could be describe uncertainties?



B) ... amended with semi-quantitative or qualitative description of non-quantifyable uncertainties:

source	Influence on hazard estimate
e.g. subset of human population not respected	-
e.g. qualitative differences	-/+

Uncertainties of testing methods Martin Paparella, Linz, Sept. 2013, slide 9



Let's improve probabilistic knowledge and thinking in toxicology!

- risk communication

 \checkmark no 100% protection, whatever method

- testing methods

- \checkmark change, adaption to technical progress
- \checkmark correct use of in vivo reference data for validation

- regulatory science

✓ risk management based on informative assessment

 \checkmark tool for precaution and sustainability discussion



Food for Thought ... Uncertainty of Testing Methods – What Do We (Want to) Know? Martin Paparella¹, Mardas Daneshian², Romana Hornek-Gausterer¹,

Maximilian Kinzl¹, Ilse Mauritz¹, and Simone Mühlegger¹

ALTEX 2013, 30

¹Environmental Agency Austria, Vienna, Austria; ²Center for Alternatives to Animal Testing-Europe, University of Konstanz, Konstanz, Germany

martin.paparella @umweltbundesamt.at



Plastics and the Precautionary Principle

a co-operation of **umwelt**bundesamt[®] and PlasticsEurope Austria

14 & 15 November 2013 MQ – MuseumsQuartier Vienna, Austria



http://www.plasticseurope.org/plastics-and-the-precautionary-principle.aspx